

18 July 2022

Bill Peoples

NZ Police

Wellington

Dear Mr Peoples

**Response to your dismissal of concerns, amid ongoing sudden deaths of younger people following their covid-19 vaccines.**

1. Thank you for your letter of 16 June 2022.
2. Your response implies that Police are satisfied that Medsafe is receiving and acting on the true extent of harm from the COVID-19 vaccines. But as was noted in my letter to Mr Coster dated 2 June 2022, it is precisely because the NZDSOS's informal database contains in excess of 300 more deaths than Medsafe has received notifications of (deaths that have occurred proximate to the vaccine) that NZDSOS - and a group of new Zealand legal professionals (NZLSOS)- have been urging Police to investigate. It is the gross disconnect between these two "databases" that is in issue.
3. The reason for the disconnect is obvious. It has pointed out how inadequate the Ministry of Health's CARM system is to monitor in real time the actual impacts of a mass roll-out of an untested gene technology because it has not been tasked to do so, and reporting of suspected reactions is only voluntary. CARM's limited jurisdiction is a critical problem because it demonstrates a gross failure by Medsafe to implement proper pharmacovigilance in relation to the COVID-19 vaccine rollout. Regrettably, it is also clear from growing disclosures by health professionals privately that there is widespread fear and intimidation in the health system that is being used to suppress the extent of vaccine harm being seen in hospitals.

4. I refer you to some well-referenced latest posts from my client, on SADS, vaccine harms and including open letters to Chris James at Medsafe and to the Chief Coroner, found at *NZDSOS.com*. [Regarding Mr James, I note a mistake in the complaint to Police regarding him. The file number should read 220217/066.]
5. NZDSOS re-emphasises that, very plainly, Medsafe do *not* have the data to refute their concerns. This is evident from the figures you present in your reply, and Medsafe's statement that 48 deaths will not be investigated due to *inadequate information*.
6. At the end of this letter in a postscript, I include some more recent deaths that demand urgent attention because it is unlikely that Medsafe will ever investigate these properly.
7. Your response, with respect, also lacks credibility. I note that nowhere have you stated that NZ Police or any other department have investigated NZDSOS's findings of unusual structures in the vaccine. Their findings still stand unrefuted, and, taken with the substantial proof that the vaccines do not prevent covid infection nor severe outcomes - in fact the opposite is clearly apparent - and the uninvestigated deaths of several hundred people following their shots, a clear threat to the public exists. *Res ipsa loquitur*. The threshold for proceeding to investigation is easily met.
8. The "safe and effective" mantra/slogan/dogma/narrative in relation to the COVID-19 vaccines has been demolished in relation to effectiveness given that NZ has one of the highest vaccination rates in the world and one of the highest numbers of cases of COVID-19, as well as an alarming rate of hospitalisations. If the "effective" plank of the slogan is clearly false, it does not require too much of a leap of a faith to question the safety plank, particularly with the information of deaths and harms that have been provided to you. With respect only a person suffering from radical blindness would be resisting undertaking the investigation that NZDSOS is asking for.
9. In closing, NZDSOS asks for a direct statement of how Police regard its obligation to protect the public good, and how they consider they are meeting that in the circumstances here.
10. Separately NZDSOS makes the following request under the Official Information Act.  
*Copies of all correspondence amongst Police and between it and all government agencies and officials since NZDSOS's first letter to Commissioner Coster that refer to the matters raised in these letters and that refer to matters raised in letters from lawyer Kirsten Murfitt on behalf of NZLSOS.*
11. Two final comments.

12. First, NZDSOS advises they will be publicly posting your response together with my original communication (confidentiality in relation to that correspondence is now waived) as well as this reply. However, identifying details of the deceased individuals will be removed from the public posts.
13. Second, in light of continuing tragic deaths and Police intransigence, NZDSOS has instructed me to refer their concerns about the Police's conduct to the Independent Police Complaints Authority and the Ombudsman.

Your sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lisa Hansen", written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish at the end.

Lisa Hansen

**Postscript:**

Some more latest deaths proximate to vaccination in young people:

[REDACTED] Died sitting up in bed, found by mum, on 30/6/22, the day following her booster. Worked for [REDACTED] Complained of a headache all day following her jab and texted friends about it. WINZ and ACC contacted family very quickly to "offer support". Died of "brain aneurysm". This side effect is well known and was reported by Pfizer itself. Coroner seized her phone. Is this usual?

[REDACTED] Pronounced dead at Wellington hospital on 27/5/22. had been feeling unwell for months, sent home from work and died at home despite CPR. Cause of death "indeterminate"