

IN OUR OWN WORDS

NZDSOS formed at the start of the c-19 injection rollout to oppose political and corporate interference with medicine. We have over 200 members, mainly doctors and over 60K email subscribers.

We oppose the Medical Council of New Zealand's politicisation, and its April 2021 Guidance Statement on covid-19 which compelled our own vaccinations and labelled as 'anti-vaccination' such fiduciary obligations as freely-given informed consent, the precautionary principle, and protecting children and pregnant women from risks. We believe this has dragged doctors towards potential criminal liability, and we are taking the Medical Council (MCNZ) to court in September 2024.

Our lobbying of government and medical regulators, and for injured and bereaved Kiwis, has been consistent and gained some results. The MCNZ revoked its Guidance Statement in September 2023 after we lodged our challenge, though did not alert doctors formally of this important change for a further 2 months.

The MCNZ has failed in court to uphold its suspension of three doctors. It continues to try to be the stick used to suppress dissenting voices who have critically important information.

Dr Peter Canaday was a longtime US respiratory physician with extensive experience in critical care medicine. More latterly he became a NZ-registered consultant radiologist too. He was suspended for giving public talks and online interviews on the science and ethics of the covid response. The judge was impressed by him and said the suspension was disproportionate to any possible public harm caused, and the MCNZ had overextended its powers. More recently the HPDT did not uphold most of the MCNZ's charges against him.

Dr Alison Goodwin, a Hawkes Bay GP, gave evidence in the first NZ covid court case challenging the right of government to rollout an experimental vaccine using section 23 of the Medicines Act, intended to restrict it to a limited number of people. The government lost the case but changed the law overnight. Later she was suspended for speaking publicly about medical ethics - first do no harm, informed consent and the right to decline medical treatment - and her concerns about the NZ government's covid response. Although her Annual Practising Certificate was re-instated after a 10 month suspension, she challenged the validity of the MCNZ suspension in court and won another important victory against the MCNZ and for conscientious and ethical medicine.

Dr Matt Shelton, a GP in Wellington, felt obligated to advise his younger patients, especially parents, of his professional view that the official vaccine narrative was inadequate for the purposes of true informed consent, and that they should do their own due diligence. He had already obtained an opinion from Medical Protection Society that best practice was advising patients of the experimental nature and the many unknowns of the c-19 injections. He was already on MCNZ's radar for expressing his concerns and questions on social media, and as a founder of NZDSOS. He was suspended, essentially, for encouraging 'vaccine hesitancy', a term which reflects appropriate concern about safety. At his appeal, the judge found the MCNZ's actions were disproportionate, and breached his human rights. He intended a line to be drawn through their actions against Dr Shelton, but the MCNZ immediately attempted to resuspend him anyway. The HDC took action against him too, but its referral to the HPDT failed. The MCNZ's PCC has succeeded however and Dr Shelton is due to appear before the HPDT for raising concerns about vaccine safety.

What we stand for:

NZDSOS stands for ethics in medicine and science. We will speak up when we see harms being done, not just with covid, and especially when perpetrated on children. While the MCNZ continues on with its investigations of many other doctors for defying the narrative, there has been no evidence of patient or public harm caused by any of our doctors. Instead the MCNZ, which now appears to be a law unto itself with dubious international associations, seems more concerned with the government's narrative than with patient safety.

Our doctors say the government should not be in the consultation room and we should all be free to practice individualised medicine according to training and experience, as professionals in our own right. We know that many of you feel the same but are guarding your registrations. However there is no higher duty than our fiduciary obligations.

In view of the increasing distrust in the medical system, the disregard of medical ethics and the appearance that the regulators tasked with protecting the public are compromised, we invite you to join us as an organisation whose purpose is that of upholding ethical medicine and providing support of doctors on behalf of the patients and public that we serve.

