

July 27, 2025

Dear MBIE, MOE and Minister Willis,

As doctors – some with extra post graduate training, fellowships and clinical practice in Environmental Medicine – we write with high concern at the proposals to pollute further the electromagnetic environment – not to mention the visual – by the ramping up of density, power and frequency levels associated with electronic communications, as well as the remarkably short time frame available to engender public awareness and involvement – though this seems *de riguer* nowadays.

We are disappointed, but not surprised, that health effects are excluded specifically from the scope of this public consultation, nor that the process to lodge a statement is needlessly complex.

There is an escalating strong evidence base for health harms from cellular radiation since its inception, known to the communications industry and government regulators, but ignored for the usual reason of profit and "progress".

In summary, pulsed packeted EMF signals trigger a default defence state in exposed cells. The cell membrane battens down tightly assuming a nearby pathogen. Permeability to oxygen and other nutrients is reduced, as is the ability to eliminate waste, especially acidity. Many people already have inherited major or minor defects in membrane ion channel functioning. In biological systems the effect of disruption from different sources is multiplicative, not additive. A little toxic input can go along away. What about people living very close to all these towers, and exposed to many different wifi networks all at once, on top of the already significant load from our electricity network?

Just one example of many literature reviews, which contain hundreds of references of proof of harm, is here. <https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/22/7/3772>



contact@nzdsos.com



www.nzdsos.com



PO Box 10016, The Wood, Nelson 7047, New Zealand

This particular article is quoted in a recent post by social scientist Jodie Bruning, who has sent her own objection to you. She has written important articles related to your proposals. One is here on our website, which includes links to evidence repositories.

<https://nzdsos.com/2025/07/25/densification-of-5g-risk-to-health-and-safety-outside-scope-in-govt-consultation/>

After running through the various obligations to ensure public safety in the Resource Management Act, incumbent on the Ministries of Health, the Environment, and Business etc, Dr Bruning then writes:

*If official documents repeatedly exclude any meaningful discussion of hazard and risk, they don't just fail in duty, they erase the conditions that make protection possible. If the current governance framework systematically ignores the broader, interconnected operational environment that generates risk officials will have (over time) rendered themselves incapable of safeguarding public health. The result? MBIE and MoH cannot improve, promote, or protect the health of people and communities because risk has become atomised and fragmented. The capacity to coherently understand the systems that generate harm, and how this can change over time, is effectively scythed out and silenced.*

In our observation there is a strong and reckless trend by government departments – best exemplified by the MoH – to ignore all safety issues and force anti-human edicts onto our captured population. Examples we have seen – and fought – first hand include the mandating of the first mass-coerced experimental gene technologies, which has caused terrible harms to many people, and yet the rubber-stamping government has turned away. There is destined to be even more cancer, vascular events, brain degeneration, autoimmune conditions and immune failure in years to come. Everyone will be touched on one way or another. The weight of evidence is immense and yet somehow so many are kept in the dark.

Similarly, the compulsory fluoridation of drinking water meets overwhelming certainty that this causes brain damage to babies, at least as bad as lead – which notably NZ dragged its feet on removing long after many of our OECD peers had acted – and yet the blunt stick of central government authority rules the day.



contact@nzdsos.com



www.nzdsos.com



PO Box 10016, The Wood, Nelson 7047, New Zealand

Both of the above examples illustrate the failure of officials to consider international and local Bill of Rights obligations by government departments, for example informed consent, the precautionary principle especially regarding pregnancy, fertility and children. Clearly, the same is true in the case of environmental pollution by communications EMFs. But there is an important difference. People can possibly (but not always easily) choose not to drink fluoridated water. Some could manage, often at great personal cost, to stay uninjected with the DNA-containing mRNA shots (which didn't work anyway to prevent covid-19. This was fraud at best). But NO-ONE can avoid exposure to the invisible, silent, tasteless, pulsed radiation, now up in frequencies that mimic some biological frequencies. Human DNA and cell membranes vibrate in the gigahertz frequency range. And the higher frequencies are approaching brain and nerve conduction parameters. Who can say there may not real consequences?

Many people already suffer from EMF sensitivity, though NZ is backward compared to some countries in acknowledging. We have amongst the loosest standards for exposure in the world already. Why is this? Are we that stupid, or just a sandbox (in Mr Luxon's words) for yet another profiteering industry to crap on us.

A long evidence trail links previous generations of EMF-generating hardware to many conditions including cancers via oxidative stress, inflammation and double-stranded DNA breaks (for which we have never evolved repair mechanisms). These studies showed real effects but were ignored.

EVERYONE who works, sleeps, eats, exercises, conceives - or tries to - in areas of electromagnetic pollution is being exponentially exposed and affected, 24/7. And who has looked at biological compounding effects, with other causes of cancer and the mounting toll of chronic disease?

There are multiple plausible mechanisms whereby the mRNA covid jabs can cause, promote or re-awaken cancer. Who is to say that EMF exposure may not be a force multiplier on already damaged cells, and vice versa?



[contact@nzdsos.com](mailto:contact@nzdsos.com)



[www.nzdsos.com](http://www.nzdsos.com)



PO Box 10016, The Wood, Nelson 7047, New Zealand

Many people observed the sudden profusion of microwave cell towers during the lockdowns, and are well aware of the impending digital control grid and the so-called internet of bio-nano-things, for which your densification is required. Take the time to understand this is not about streaming movies even quicker. A useful primer article is here <https://ijvtpr.com/index.php/IJVTPR/article/view/49>

We hope that everyone involved in putting forward, assessing and implementing the further rollout towards 6th and 7th generation EM signals pauses to consider their own safety, and that of their loved ones and descendants.

There is no precedent in the long history of life on Earth for living beings to be exposed to the Invisible Rainbow, as the remarkable book by Arthur Firstenberg is called. We urge you to read it.

<https://www.amazon.com.au/Invisible-Rainbow-Arthur-Firstenberg>

Based on our observations as stated above, we have reduced faith that you will do the right thing, the social contract to protect the people having been clearly torn up.

However we continue to try to communicate into the Black Hole of government unaccountability and apparent lawlessness so that people in the future can see that some of us, at least, didn't just take the money, and tried really hard to care for humanity.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

New Zealand Doctors Speaking Out with Science



contact@nzdsos.com



www.nzdsos.com



PO Box 10016, The Wood, Nelson 7047, New Zealand